

Conducted Electrical Weapon Reporting Form

To be completed by any Vermont Law Enforcement Officer after the display or deployment of a Conducted Electrical Weapon (CEW)

| | OF OTION ONE | 9 | i and a Dayla | N/100 0 10 | t lufa un ati a u | | |
|---|--|-------------------|---|--------------------------|---|-------|--|
| | | :: Disp | ay and/or Deplo | _ | | | |
| Case Number: | 22A2002475 | | Location of Incident: | | 175 Elm St. Enosburg, VT | 55 | |
| Date of Incident: | 05/16/2022 | | Time of Incident: | | 1545 | | |
| CEW Model: | X3 . | | CEW Serial Number: | | X300069RX | | |
| | CEW displayed | | 40 | 25 <u>10</u> | - F | | |
| Use of CEW. | Probes fired | | Location where probes hit subject: | | Abdomen | | |
| Check all that apply | ☐ Drive stun mode | | No. of cycles: | | 1 | | |
| ☐ Drive stun mod | | ie | Location where was C held against subject's | | N/A × | | |
| Was a recording device running at the time of the incident? ■Yes □ No | | | | | | | |
| lf yes, was it a ■ Body Cam ■ Dashboard Cam □ other (describe): | | | | | | | |
| Was the subject: I Human OR Animal (if animal, STOP here and submit form) | | | | | | | |
| Was subject charged with a crime? | | | | | | | |
| If yes, what charge(s): Aggravated Disorderly Conduct and Assault on Law Enforcement. | | | | | | | |
| SECTION TWO: Incident Information | | | | | | | |
| 1. Subject Gender: 2. Subject Age (if 3. Perceived race of subject at the time of display or deployment: | | | | | | | |
| Female | unknown, give an approximate guess): | Asia | in . | | ☐ American Indian or Alaska Na | ative | |
| Male Male | 21 | ☐ Blac | Black or African-American Hispanic or Latino | | | | |
| 9 | Z 1' | Whi | te | * | Unknown | | |
| yes, check all that Pregnant Elderly (Over the Child (Under the Low body-mass Disability Mental health of Developmental | at apply. (If none apply. (If none apply.) age of 18) s index (Body type is 1) condition | oply, go Thin) | to Question 6) | Tra Em ma rec Epi He Lov | member of a special population numatic Brain Injury notional crisis to the extent subject have had difficulty understandiquests or orders liepsy/seizure disorder art condition af/hard of hearing w vision/blind | et | |
| 5. How did you obtain information leading to your belief that the subject was a member of a special population? Check all that apply: | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Subject notified | d officer | ☐ Civi | lian witness | | | *0 | |
| ☐ Professional witness ☐ Dispatch | | | | | | | |
| Personal perce | ption of the subject | | | | | | |
| 6. To the best of your knowledge, was the person under the influence of alcohol or other drugs at the time of the incident? ■ Yes □ No □ Unknown | | | | | | | |
| 7. Were mental health or developmental disabilities professionals contacted for assistance with the subject? Improve No (If no, go to Section Three) | | | | | | | |
| Yes | <u> </u> | 588 | | | | | |
| | | | (46) | | | | |

| If you are to do to the standard of the standa |
|--|
| If yes, contacted by: |
| Prior to the display or deployment |
| ☐ During the display or deployment |
| After the display or deployment |
| Other comments: |
| 8. What was the outcome of that attempt to contact mental health care or developmental disability |
| professionals? Check all that apply: |
| Professional assisted to resolve situation more promptly or with less coercion than without contact, |
| Professional did not result in any positive or helpful impact on the situation; |
| Professional provided limited positive or helpful impact on the situation; |
| Contact was attempted but no one could be reached; |
| Professional helped reduce the time officers had to be at the scene; |
| Professional helped avoid involuntary placement in detention or emergency department; |
| Professional helped provide appropriate follow-up and service provision; |
| Intervention was ineffective. |
| SECTION THREE; ADDITIONAL INFORMATION |
| Decision to use CEW was based on: |
| Active aggression of subject; |
| Active resistance of subject, with injuries to others or subject likely to occur; |
| Anticipated injuries to subject, officer, or others at scene. |
| What was the subject's response to the use of the CEW? |
| ☐ Subject was compliant directly after use of CEW; |
| ☐ Subject was not compliant directly after use of CEW, requiring additional force; |
| ☐ CEW failed; subject had to be handled through other means. State reason for failure if known; |
| Was any other force used in addition to the CEW? Check all that apply: |
| □ □ □ □ Firearm □ Physical force □ Baton |
| other (describe): |
| Was this additional use of force before or after use of the CEW? Before After |
| Was medical assistance provided to the subject following the use of the CEW? Yes No |
| If yes, by whom? Officer EMS personnel Other emergency or health care professionals |
| Check any box below relating to noteworthy details not already described: |
| Incident occurred on an elevated location such as a roof, stairs, or bridge; |
| Subject was near or in water at time of incident; |
| ☐ Subject was wearing heavy clothes; |
| Subject was more than 25 feet away when CEW probe shot; |
| ☐ Subject was fleeing when CEW probe shot. |
| Was the training "Interacting with People Experiencing a Mental Health Crisis" (also known as Act 80 |
| training) useful in dealing with this incident? |
| If no, describe why not: |

Return this completed form via scan or email to: Executive Director Richard Gauthier

Executive Director Richard Gauthier Vermont Criminal Justice Training Council 317 Academy Road, Pittsford, VT 05763 Tel: (802)483-6228 Fax: (802)483-2343 Richard.Gauthier@Vermont.Gov